Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/582,353	ZAIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	M GOOD JOHNSON	2628
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>M GOOD JOHNSON</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Sanders</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 January 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: possible 101 rejection		
Claims discussed: 49, 51 and 53		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
<i>(</i> A)	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(H)	prisand applicant a representati	Signature in appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner propose amending claims 49, 51 and 53 to recite similar language to canceled claim 19. Applicant specification supports a computer readable recording medium storing a program as recited on page 21, lines 18-28. Applicant's specification does not provide support for a computer program product..